#### UNCLASSIFIED

#### DATE **ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit)** February 1999 **BUDGET ACTIVITY** PE NUMBER AND TITLE **PROJECT** 5 - Engineering and Manufacturing Development 0604601A Infantry Support Weapons D033 FY 1998 FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 **Total Cost** FY 2001 FY 2005 Cost to COST (In Thousands) Actual Estimate **Estimate** Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Complete D033 Objective Crew Served Weapon 0 1747 3709 3814 12970 8155 1000 31395

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Objective Crew Served Weapon (OCSW) – The OCSW will represent the next generation crew served weapon. The OCSW will replace selected existing crew served weapons i.e., M2 and MK19 Machine Guns in various applications. This new Crew Served Weapon capability will provide both infantry and vehicle-mounted soldiers with significant increase in lethality along with an increase in overall system performance.

FY 1998 Planned Program: Project not funded in FY 1998

**FY 1999 Planned Program:** Project not funded in FY 1999

FY 2000 Planned Program: Project not funded in FY 2000

### **FY 2001 Planned Program:**

1747 Contract/Weapon Development

Total 1747

B. Program Change Summary	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
Previous President's Budget (FY 1999 PB)	0	0	0	1766
Appropriated Value				
Adjustments to Appropriated Value				
a. Congressional General Reductions				
b. SBIR / STTR				
c. Omnibus or Other Above Threshold Reductions				
d. Below Threshold Reprogramming				
e. Rescissions				
Adjustments to Budget Years Since FY 1999 PB				-19
Current Budget Submit ( <u>FY 2000/ 2001</u> PB)	0	0	0	1747

C. Other Program Funding Summary: Not applicable.

Project D033 Page 1 of 3 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604601A)

Item 81

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	February 1999		
BUDGET ACTIVITY	PE NUMBER AND TITLE	PROJECT	
5 - Engineering and Manufacturing Development	0604601A Infantry Support Weapons	D033	

**D.** <u>Acquisition Strategy:</u> The OCSW prototype system will have been demonstrated under the Advanced Technology Demonstration (ATD). Non-conventional contracting strategies will be examined for implementation such as integrated contractor-government product teaming and various contact incentives. Integrated Product and Process Design (IPPD) efforts initiated in the previous ATD phase of this program will reduce work and streamline activities in EMD. The program will contract to build and qualify weapon hardware for developmental and operational testing in preparation of a Milestone III.

E. Schedule Profile	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Contract Preparation				1-3Q					
Weapon Development				3-4Q	1-4Q	1-2Q	3-4Q	1-2Q	
Quality Design & Build						3-4Q	1-2Q	1-2Q	
Developmental Testing & Assessment							3-4Q	1-3Q	
Operational Testing & Assessment								4Q	1-3Q
Milestone III			·						4Q

Project D033 Page 2 of 3 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604601A)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS (R-3)							DA	DATE February 1999					
BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 - Engineering and Manufacturing Development					PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0604601A Infantry Support Weapons							PROJECT D033	
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac	
a. Hardware Development Subtotal Product	FP	Contractor TBD								20818 20818	20818 20818	2081 2081	
Development: Remark: Produce development v	l will take place b	peyond FY 2005.											
II. Support Costs	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o	
a. Development b. ILS	MIPR MIPR	Multiple Multiple			Date		Date	1500	Multiple Multiple	2944 1467	4444 1517	444	
Subtotal Support Costs:		1 1						1550		4411	5961	596	
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method &	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targo Value o	
a. DT b. OT	Type MIPR	TECOM OPTEC	Cost		Date		Date		Date	2061	2061	Contra 206	
Subtotal Test and Evaluation:	MIPR									883 2944	883 2944	88 294	
Remark: Test and evaluation wi	II take place be	eyond FY 2005.											
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targ Value o Contra	
a. PGM Management b. Travel	ALLOT	PM SA						177 20	Multiple Multiple	1375 100	1552 120	155 12	
Subtotal Management Services:								197	Wattiple	1475	1672	167	
D : (T : 10 :	1	1	ı		ı			17.47		20640	21205	2120	
Project Total Cost:								1747		29648	31395	3139	
Project D033				Page 3 og	f 3 Pages				Exhibit R-	-3 (PE 0604	1601A)		
				90′								Item 8	

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

804 Item 81